Pupil premium strategy statement

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium for the 2021 to 2022 academic year) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

School overview

Detail	Data
School name	Blessed John Henry Newman RC College
Number of pupils in school	1496 (census figure)
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	40%
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended)	2021-2024
Date this statement was published	September 2021
Date on which it will be reviewed	July 2022
Statement authorised by	G Potts
Pupil premium lead	C Perkin
Governor / Trustee lead	M McGhee

Funding overview

Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£605,927
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year	£86,710
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	£0
Total budget for this academic year If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year	£692,637

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

Statement of intent

At Newman RC College, we use our vocation of teaching to give an unfair advantage to students leaving no door closed to them. No students will be disadvantaged regardless of their background.

Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge number	Detail of challenge
1	Some pupils may not be working at an age-related level and have conceptual gaps or misconceptions
2	Some pupils may have limited reading and writing skills which can impact upon learning.
3	In some cases, learning skills may need developing, e.g., organisation, commitment, resilience.
4	In some cases, consistent attendance, and punctuality.
5	In some cases, access to resources, such as books, libraries, laptops, internet and life experiences.
6	In some cases, a lack of regular routines and preparation for learning, including home reading, homework, spelling and having equipment in school.

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Success criteria
Increase attendance and punctuality.	97% attendance or above

	Persistence absence to be better than the 2019-2020 figure of 23%
Improve standards of literacy.	 From baseline Reading Age figures of: Class of 2022 57% above or at Class of 2023 58% above or at Class of 2024 59% above or at Class of 2025 54% above or at
Enhance KS3 outcomes for all groups, especially DP Boys and SEND.	A +5% improvement in pupil movement from each Tier of our Raising Achievement Programme at each Progress Check
Enhance KS4 outcomes.	 Progress 8 for DP class of 2022 to be better than the last available data in 2019 of -0.42 Attainment 8 for DP class of 2022 to be better than the last available data in 2019 of 37.51
Provide enrichment opportunities to 'raise aspirations'.	Every DP has at least 2 opportunities to 'raise aspirations' each year
Enrichment opportunities to 'broaden cultural capital'.	Every DP has at least 2 opportunities to 'broaden cultural capital' each year

Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching

Budgeted cost: £268,532

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
 Staff CPL and development of pedagogy that is focussed on our Universal Offer and the 3 key elements of: Learning Environment (Behaviour 4 Learning) Literacy (see below) SEND 	 Developed from Tom Sherrington's 'Rosenshine's Principles in Action' and the publications of T Bennett, K Birbalsingh, S Cowley, G James T Johns, T McVey, P Dix, B Rogers & A Ali EEF Improving Behaviour in Schools SEND Code of Practice 2021 EEF Send in the Mainstream and Making Effective use of TAs 	1,2,3 & 6
Staff CPL and development of pedagogy that is focussed on vocabulary that will enhance teaching and learning to develop reading and writing.	 Alex Quigley – Closing the Vocabulary Gap EEF Literacy in Secondary Guidance Report Classics for all National Literary Trust research and training. 	1 & 2

Targeted academic support

Budgeted cost: £492,628

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Rapid response system to Unauthorised Absences of key cohort, Incentive to 'arrive early' system and waves of intervention to support lack of punctuality	 DfE - Improving school attendance: support for schools and local authorities EEF – Working with Parents to Support Children's Learning 	4

 Reading programmes to support pupils in their exam literacy, reading for pleasure and devel- opments in literacy as a focus in Ebacc subjects 	EEF – Improving Literacy in Secondary Schools	2
 SEND Development through CPL application to support learning for targeted pupils who are under- performing 	The Six Pillars of SEND	3 & 6
 Year Leaders to know key groups and track progress – group through RAP process and waves of intervention to support under- performance at Tier 2-4 	 EEF – Teacher Feedback to Improve Pupil Learning EEF – Using technology to improve learning 	3, 4, 5 & 6
 Resources, laptops/internet – en- sure all KS3 DP boys have ac- cess to learn at home and do not opt out in lessons where high ex- pectations are enforced. 	EEF – Using technology to improve learning	3, 5 & 6
• Numeracy support in form time (8/9)	EEF - Improving Mathematics at Key Stage 2 and 3	1
Subject Interventions including Form Time interventions through FTs, Post College Learning, non- term time programmes and a Mock Series 2	EEF – Teacher Feedback to Improve Pupil Learning	1, 3 & 6

Wider strategies

Budgeted cost: £88,260

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Targeted CEIAG and enrichment opportunities (and celebration) each term and mapping of our pupil promise. Year team focus on key cohort with identification of barriers to extra-curricular engagement.	 Evidence and Impact: Careers and guidance-related interventions – CfBT Education Trust Careers and Enterprise Company Guidance for Schools 	5
College preparation sessions, University trips / Higher Education experiences	 EEF - Careers Education Summary EEF - Employer engagement 	5
DP discount and funding available for subject areas in bid format	in education	1, 3, 5 & 6

•	Early identification of those at
	risk of becoming NEET, Parents
	Evening 'push', Mental Health &
	Wellbeing guidance and Options
	Process focus on targeted
	vulnerable and at-risk pupils.

•	Work Experience opportunities
	built into KS4 study areas and
	Engagement with employers and
	employees through 'link, lunch
	and learn'.

3, 4, 5 & 6 5	
5	

Total budgeted cost: £849,420

Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2020 to 2021 academic year. We have reviewed the outcomes based on our aims last year's PP Strategy Plan.

Aim	Target	Outcome
Progress 8	Achieve top quartile for progress made by disadvantaged pupils amongst similar schools	The P8 score of -0.29 is better than like for like 'similar' schools across the country.
Attainment 8	Disadvantaged pupils achieve national average for attainment for similar schools	This is 4.4 above that of similar 'like for like' schools (compared to the most recent figure of 2019).
Percentage of Grade 5+ in English and maths	Disadvantaged pupils achieve average English and maths 5+ scores for similar schools in Oldham	The % for English and maths 5+ was 29% compared to the national average for like for like 'similar' schools of 24%. The score for maths was below that of the national average for like for like 'similar' schools, however the score for English was above the national average for like for like 'similar' schools. No data has been made available to compare similar schools in Oldham.
Other	Persistent absence for disadvantaged pupils below that of National Average	DP PA rose considerably from 2020-2021 compared to 2019-2020 due to the impact of COVID isolations and absences. No figure for the NA PA of DP has been published in 2021 due to enforced closures during the COVID19 pandemic.
Ebacc entry	Increase EBacc Entry for disadvantaged pupils in line with other pupils	The % of DP entered between over the last 3 years has risen in line with that of Non-DP and for the class of 2023 is now 38.74%

Externally provided programmes

Newman RC College has not taken part in any non-DfE programmes in the previous academic year.

Service pupil premium funding (optional)

Newman RC College does not receive this funding.